

Global Credit Research - 03 Jun 2013

Uzbekistan

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Bank Deposits	B2/NP
Bank Financial Strength	E+
Baseline Credit Assessment	(b3)
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	(b2)

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Olga Ulyanova/Moscow	7.495.228.6060
Lev Dorf/Moscow	
Yves Lemay/London	44.20.7772.5454

Key Indicators

Uzbek-Turkish Bank (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]12-12	[2]12-11	[2]12-10	[2]12-09	Avg.
Total Assets (UZS million)	128,053.4	202,806.1	137,437.5	94,227.2	[3]10.8
Total Assets (USD million)	64.5	113.0	83.8	62.3	[3]1.2
Tangible Common Equity (UZS million)	44,407.0	37,377.9	18,621.2	12,863.5	[3]51.1
Tangible Common Equity (USD million)	22.4	20.8	11.4	8.5	[3]38.0
Net Interest Margin (%)	2.3	1.5	1.3	1.6	[4]1.7
PPI / Average RWA (%)	4.3	3.1	2.7	-	[5]3.4
Net Income / Average RWA (%)	3.8	2.4	1.8	-	[5]2.7
(Market Funds - Liquid Assets) / Total Assets (%)	-94.9	-95.3	-81.4	-89.2	[4]-90.2
Core Deposits / Average Gross Loans (%)	2,066.6	4,361.9	1,948.2	1,265.8	[4]2,410.6
Tier 1 Ratio (%)	34.7	15.7	19.4	-	[5]23.3
Tangible Common Equity / RWA (%)	34.7	15.7	19.3	-	[5]23.2
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	35.6	39.4	49.2	40.0	[4]41.1
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	-	1.2	0.4	2.6	[4]1.4
Problem Loans / (Equity + Loan Loss Reserves) (%)	-	0.1	0.1	1.2	[4]0.5

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel I; IFRS [3] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on IFRS reporting periods [4] IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation [5] Basel I & IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

We assign B2/Not Prime global local currency (GLC) deposit ratings to Closed Joint Stock Company "Uzbekistan-Turkish Bank" (UT-Bank) with stable outlook. The ratings incorporate our assessment of a low probability of support from the bank's foreign (Turkish) shareholder, TC Ziraat Bankasi (deposits Baa2/Prime-2 stable;

BFSR/BCA D+/ba1 stable), which owns a 49.98% stake in UT-Bank. Another member of the TC Ziraat Bankasi group, Germany-based Ziraat Bank International AG, owns a 0.02% stake in UT-Bank.

At the same time, we do not incorporate any probability of support to UT-Bank from its local shareholder, Agrobank (one of the largest government-controlled banks in Uzbekistan, with a 50% stake in UT-Bank), because of the local shareholder's very limited financial flexibility to render support to its subsidiary, as indicated by Agrobank's low rating level (deposits Caa1/Not Prime stable; BFSR/BCA E/ca stable).

We assign a standalone Bank Financial Strength Rating (BFSR) of E+ to UT-Bank, which is equivalent to a BCA of b3. The rating is constrained by the bank's low market shares in the context of Uzbekistan, and the low diversification of its business operations (on both asset and liability sides). On a positive note, UT-Bank's standalone ratings are underpinned by the bank's low risk appetite, its sustainable profits generation and sound capital adequacy levels. The BFSR carries a stable outlook.

Rating Drivers

- Risk profile benefits from some operational and strategic support, as well as close oversight, effected by UT-bank's Turkish shareholder which has more advanced risk management capabilities
- UT-bank is a locally funded institution servicing local clientele, but franchise value is constrained by its limited market shares
- Liquidity profile could be impaired both by significant concentration in sources of funding and by its short-term duration
- Good asset quality complemented by an adequate provision buffer
- Earnings metrics remain comfortable and stem from recurring income sources
- Strong capital adequacy metrics as a result of low risk appetite and commitment of the shareholders

Rating Outlook

All of the bank's ratings carry a stable outlook.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

UT-Bank's BFSR has limited upside potential at its current level. However, in the longer term, the BFSR could be realigned to a higher BCA (as opposed to the current BCA of b3) if the bank expands its market franchise and diversifies its product mix and customer funding base, while simultaneously maintaining sustainable and good financial fundamentals, including asset quality, profitability and capital levels.

We could incorporate higher uplift to UT-Bank's deposit ratings as a result of an assumption of higher parental support to the bank from its financially stronger Turkish parent TC Ziraat Bankasi (a government-owned financial institution), if the latter increased its holding in UT-Bank to a controlling stake while clearly demonstrating a strategic fit of this Uzbek subsidiary to the group's operations. However, we understand that the acquisition of a controlling stake in UT-Bank by TC Ziraat Bankasi is not expected in the medium term.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Negative pressure could be exerted on UT-Bank's ratings (1) if asset quality, profitability and/or capital levels significantly weaken as a result of mismanagement of the bank's growth; or (2) if the bank is unable to maintain an adequate liquidity position because of sizeable depositor outflows.

UT-Bank's supported ratings could be downgraded if we lower our assessment of the probability of parental support to UT-Bank from TC Ziraat Bankasi.

Recent Results and Company Events

As of YE2011, UT-Bank reported total (audited) IFRS assets of UZS203 billion (\$113 million), a 48% increase from UZS137 billion at YE2010. Shareholders' equity stood at UZS37.4 billion (\$20.8 million) as at 1 January 2012, and net IFRS profits was UZS4.0 billion (\$2.2 million) in 2011, compared to UZS1.8 billion in 2010.

As at 30 September 2012, the bank reported - in accordance with statutory accounting statements - total assets of

UZS148.9 billion (\$76.8 million), shareholders' equity of UZS43.8 billion (\$22.6 million), and net profits of UZS2.8 billion (\$1.5 million) for the nine months of 2012.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

As of year-end 2012, UT-Bank's total (audited) IFRS assets declined by 37% to UZS128 billion (US\$65 million), due to the loss - in the course of 2012 - of a major customer, which represented about 63% of total customer accounts as of year-end 2011. At the same time, the bank's capital increased 19% to UZS44 billion (US\$22 million), as result of (1) a 38% increase in retained earnings; and (2) a new capital injection of UZS1.9 billion. In 2012, UT-Bank reported net IFRS profit of UZS7 billion (US\$3.5 million), compared to UZS4 billion in 2011.

RISK PROFILE BENEFITS FROM SOME OPERATIONAL AND STRATEGIC SUPPORT, AS WELL AS CLOSE OVERSIGHT, EFFECTED BY UT-BANK'S TURKISH SHAREHOLDER WHICH HAS MORE ADVANCED RISK MANAGEMENT CAPABILITIES

UT-Bank was founded in 1993 as the first bank in Uzbekistan to be established with foreign investments. The bank is 49.98% owned by TC Ziraat Bankasi (which, in turn, is 100% owned by the Turkish government). Uzbekistan-based Agrobank (controlled by the Uzbek government) owns 50% of UT-Bank on a parity basis, with equal representation of TC Ziraat Bankasi and Agrobank on UT-Bank's six-member Supervisory Board. We believe that this oversight structure is beneficial for UT-Bank, especially as regards representatives of the much larger and advanced Turkish shareholder. TC Ziraat Bankasi, which reported total consolidated (audited local GAAP) assets of US\$85 billion as of year-end 2011 and operates an expanded network of branches, representative offices and subsidiaries outside of its domicile country.

UT-BANK IS A LOCALLY FUNDED INSTITUTION SERVICING LOCAL CLIENTELE, BUT FRANCHISE VALUE IS CONSTRAINED BY ITS LIMITED MARKET SHARES

UT-Bank is domiciled in Tashkent, the capital of Uzbekistan, and it does not currently have any branches but runs just one mini-bank in the same city. There is a perception among local market participants that UT-Bank focuses on serving Uzbek-Turkish operations, but management of both TC Ziraat Bankasi and Agrobank have confirmed to us that they see UT-Bank's future as being a bank for local (Uzbek) clientele. The bank's assets are funded by local customer accounts, and it receives no parental funding (from TC Ziraat Bankasi or Agrobank) other than regular capital injections.

UT-Bank predominantly maintains interest-free customer settlement accounts and re-channels them into either low-risk interbank loans (mainly to large state-owned Uzbek banks) or risk-free placements with the Central Bank of Uzbekistan (CBU). Thus the bank's main earnings are generated from (1) interest income on interbank placements; and (2) commissions for settlement operations (each of the two items account for approximately one third of total revenues).

A material portion of customer accounts is formed of the clients' local currency funds reserved - by UT-Bank on behalf of these clients - with the CBU for conversion into foreign currency in order for the clients to serve their import/export operations: the respective customer balances are blocked at the CBU until the conversion is processed (in Uzbekistan, the volume of these conversion operations are limited by the authorities and may take up to several months to be processed). The volume of UT-Bank's commercial lending and leasing operations is immaterial and does not exceed 5% of the bank's total assets.

UT-Bank's overall market shares are now very limited, even in the context of Uzbekistan: according to the bank's management, as of 1H 2012 it held market shares among Uzbek banks of 0.49% and 1.14% by total assets and shareholder equity, respectively, whereas its market shares in terms of total loans and deposits were 0.02% and 0.28%, respectively, as of the same date. The bank's efforts to grow its business further are not constrained by capital, and the shareholders are targeting an increase in market shares; however, we believe that UT-Bank will be challenged to compete with those more prominent players running expanded branch networks, despite UT-Bank's plans to also open a number of branches.

LIQUIDITY PROFILE COULD BE IMPAIRED BOTH BY SIGNIFICANT CONCENTRATION IN SOURCES OF FUNDING AND BY ITS SHORT-TERM DURATION

As result of its business model, UT-Bank maintains an adequate liquidity cushion. The bank's cash and interbank balances exceeded 90% of total assets in the period 2009-12. However, its funding base has very short-term tenors. On demand deposits amounted to 74% of non-equity liabilities at year-end 2012, although down from almost 100% of non-equity liabilities at year-end 2011. This lengthening of funding maturities supports UT-Bank's

liquidity profile, but we still note the risk that significant single-name concentration on the liabilities side might challenge the bank's sustainability. In 2012, UT-Bank faced customer fund outflows amounting to UZS105 billion or 63% of its customer base, as one of its major clients - "Tashkent Pipe Plant" - changed its banking service provider. We also note that at year-end 2012, three depositors accounted for 58% of the bank's non-equity liabilities.

GOOD ASSET QUALITY COMPLEMENTED BY AN ADEQUATE PROVISION BUFFER

UT-Bank's commercial lending activities are not sizeable, whereas its interbank lending is disbursed mainly to the largest Uzbek banks that are backed by the government (and this portfolio is fairly well diversified among several banking entities); therefore, UT-Bank has, to date, avoided any material asset quality problems. We note that the bank's gross loan book grew 56% in 2012, albeit from a very low base. UT-Bank reported the total absence of overdue or non-standard loans as of year-end 2012. At the same time, the bank disclosed the level of foreclosed assets at 6.4% of gross loans. Against that background, the loan loss reserve-to-total gross loans ratio of 9.8% (under IFRS as at year-end 2012) appears sufficiently conservative.

EARNINGS METRICS REMAIN COMFORTABLE AND STEM FROM RECURRING INCOME SOURCES

In 2012, UT-Bank reported a 50% increase in pre-provision income (UZS7.9 billion), while its bottom-line result was augmented by 75% to UZS7 billion from UZS4 billion in 2011. The bank's profitability is supported by recurring income sources. The net interest income and commission income (the latter derived mainly from customer settlements and foreign exchange operations) each formed about 30% of the bank's total revenues in 2012, whilst the remaining one third of total revenue was of an accounting nature and derived from positive exchange rate differences. Despite the need to maintain an ample liquidity cushion and its low portion of commercial lending, UT-Bank is able to earn interest income from lending on the money market and has therefore improved its net interest margins to 2.27% in 2012 from 1.55% in 2011. As a result of the concentration of all operations in the head office in Tashkent, UT-Bank demonstrates one of the best cost-efficiency metrics in the Uzbek banking sector, with a cost-to-income ratio of 36% in 2012. In our opinion, the bank will be able to preserve reasonable profitability metrics going forward.

STRONG CAPITAL ADEQUACY METRICS AS A RESULT OF LOW RISK APPETITE AND COMMITMENT OF THE SHAREHOLDERS

UT-Bank's capital adequacy level is high and provides an adequate buffer against potential unexpected losses in the future and for further asset growth. At year-end 2011, the Tier 1 capital ratio stood at 15.7%. At year-end 2012, the ratio improved to 34.7%, mainly driven by significant shrinkage in the bank's assets but also boosted by a new capital injection of UZS1.9 billion. UT-Bank's capital adequacy is further underpinned by low risk appetite and strong profitability.

NOTE ON DATA

Unless noted otherwise, data in this report is sourced from company reports and our Banking Financial Metrics. All figures are based on our own chart of account, and are adjusted for analytical purposes. Please refer to the documents entitled "Moody's Approach to Global Standard Adjustments in the Analysis of the Financial Statements of Banks, Securities Firms and Finance Companies" and "Frequently Asked Questions: Moody's Approach to Global Standard Adjustments in the Analysis of the Financial Statements of Banks, Securities Firms and Finance Companies", both published on 19 July 2012.

Global Local Currency Deposit Rating (Joint Default Analysis)

In accordance with Moody's Joint Default Analysis methodology, UT-Bank's B2/Not Prime global local currency (GLC) deposit ratings incorporate our assessment of a low probability of support from one of its shareholders - TC Ziraat Bankasi, which ultimately controls a 50% stake in UT-Bank. This support assumption results in one notch of support uplift from UT-Bank's BCA of b3.

Foreign Currency Deposit Rating

UT-Bank's foreign currency deposit ratings are B2/Not Prime, in line with the bank's GLC deposit ratings.

ABOUT MOODY'S BANK RATINGS

Bank Financial Strength Rating

Moody's Bank Financial Strength Ratings (BFSRs) represent Moody's opinion of a bank's intrinsic safety and soundness and, as such, exclude certain external credit risks and credit support elements that are addressed by Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings. BFSRs do not take into account the probability that the bank will receive such external support, nor do they address risks arising from sovereign actions that may interfere with a bank's ability to honor its domestic or foreign currency obligations. Factors considered in the assignment of BFSRs include bank-specific elements such as financial fundamentals, franchise value, and business and asset diversification. Although BFSRs exclude the external factors specified above, they do take into account other risk factors in the bank's operating environment, including the strength and prospective performance of the economy, as well as the structure and relative fragility of the financial system, and the quality of banking regulation and supervision.

Global Local Currency Deposit Rating

A deposit rating, as an opinion of relative credit risk, incorporates the BFSR as well as Moody's opinion of any external support. Specifically, Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings are opinions of a bank's ability to repay punctually its deposit obligations. As such, they are intended to incorporate those aspects of credit risk relevant to the prospective payment performance of rated banks with respect to deposit obligations, which includes: intrinsic financial strength, sovereign transfer risk (in the case of foreign currency deposit ratings), and both implicit and explicit external support elements. Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings do not take into account the benefit of deposit insurance schemes which make payments to depositors, but they do recognize the potential support from schemes that may provide assistance to banks directly.

According to Moody's joint default analysis (JDA) methodology, the global local currency deposit rating of a bank is determined by the incorporation of external elements of support into the bank's Baseline Credit Assessment. In calculating the Global Local Currency Deposit rating for a bank, the JDA methodology also factors in the rating of the support provider, in the form of the local currency deposit ceiling for a country, Moody's assessment of the probability of systemic support for the bank in the event of a stress situation and the degree of dependence between the issuer rating and the Local Currency Deposit Ceiling.

National Scale Rating

Moody's Interfax Rating Agency's National Scale Ratings (NSRs) are intended as relative measures of creditworthiness among debt issues and issuers within a country, enabling market participants to better differentiate relative risks. NSRs differ from Moody's global scale ratings in that they are not globally comparable with the full universe of Moody's rated entities, but only with NSRs for other rated debt issues and issuers within the same country. NSRs are designated by a ".nn" country modifier signifying the relevant country, as in ".ru" for Russia. For further information on Moody's approach to national scale ratings, please refer to Moody's Rating Implementation Guidance published in August 2010 entitled "Mapping Moody's National Scale Ratings to Global Scale Ratings."

Foreign Currency Deposit Rating

Moody's ratings on foreign currency bank obligations derive from the bank's local currency rating for the same class of obligation. The implementation of JDA for banks can lead to high local currency ratings for certain banks, which could also produce high foreign currency ratings. Nevertheless, it should be noted that foreign currency deposit ratings are in all cases constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bank deposits. This may result in the assignment of a different, and typically lower, rating for the foreign currency deposits relative to the bank's rating for local currency obligations.

Foreign Currency Debt Rating

Foreign currency debt ratings are derived from the bank's local currency debt rating. In a similar way to foreign currency deposit ratings, foreign currency debt ratings may also be constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bonds and notes; however, in some cases the ratings on foreign currency debt obligations may be allowed to pierce the foreign currency ceiling. A particular mix of rating factors are taken into consideration in order to assess whether a foreign currency bond rating pierces the country ceiling. They include the issuer's global local currency rating, the foreign currency government bond rating, the country ceiling for bonds and the debt's eligibility to pierce that ceiling.

About Moody's Bank Financial Strength Scorecard

Moody's bank financial strength model (see scorecard below) is a strategic input in the assessment of the financial strength of a bank, used as a key tool by Moody's analysts to ensure consistency of approach across banks and

regions. The model output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

Rating Factors

Uzbek-Turkish Bank

Rating Factors [1]	A	B	C	D	E	Total Score	Trend
Qualitative Factors (70%)						E	
Factor: Franchise Value						E	Neutral
Market share and sustainability					x		
Geographical diversification					x		
Earnings stability					x		
Earnings Diversification [2]							
Factor: Risk Positioning						E	Neutral
Corporate Governance [2]							
- Ownership and Organizational Complexity							
- Key Man Risk							
- Insider and Related-Party Risks							
Controls and Risk Management							
- Risk Management					x		
- Controls				x	x		
Financial Reporting Transparency							
- Global Comparability	x		x				
- Frequency and Timeliness					x		
- Quality of Financial Information				x			
Credit Risk Concentration							
- Borrower Concentration		x					
- Industry Concentration	x	x					
Liquidity Management							
Market Risk Appetite		x					
Factor: Operating Environment						E+	Neutral
Economic Stability							
Integrity and Corruption					x		
Legal System				x	x		
Financial Factors (30%)						B-	
Factor: Profitability						B+	Neutral
PPI % Average RWA (Basel I)		2.90%					
Net Income % Average RWA (Basel I)	2.12%						
Factor: Liquidity						C-	Neutral
(Market Funds - Liquid Assets) % Total Assets	-88.62%						
Liquidity Management							
					x		
Factor: Capital Adequacy						A	Neutral
Tier 1 Ratio (%) (Basel I)	17.55%						
Tangible Common Equity % RWA (Basel I)	17.53%						
Factor: Efficiency						A	Neutral
Cost / Income Ratio	42.88%						
Factor: Asset Quality						B+	Neutral
Problem Loans % Gross Loans		1.39%					
Problem Loans % (Equity + LLR)	0.47%						
Lowest Combined Financial Factor Score (9%)						C-	
Economic Insolvency Override						Neutral	
Aggregate BFSR Score						D	

Aggregate BCA Score		ba2	
Assigned BFSR		E+	
Assigned BCA		b3	

[1] - Where dashes are shown for a particular factor (or sub-factor), the score is based on non-public information.

[2] - A blank score under Earnings Diversification or Corporate Governance indicates the risk is neutral.



© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis,

interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.